(26)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

DIVISION BENCH

Coram : HON'BLE SHRI RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. &
HON'BLE SHRI SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

Writ Petition No. 4183 of 2005

PETITIONER Smt. Ganga Bai Pardhan, W/o Late Shri Nathulal Pardhan, Assistant Teacher, Caste Gond (Aadivasi) through son Rajesh Kumar Pradhan Post Samhar, Block-Baghbahra, Distt. Mahasamund (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. District Education Officer, Mahasamund (C.G.)
3. Block Developpers Officers, Basna, Distt. Mahasamund (CG)

Letter Petition

Present: Shri M.P.S. Bhatia, learned Deputy Government Advocate for the State.

ORDER
(3rd March, 2008)

The following order of the Court was passed by

Rajeev Gupta, C.J.

This writ petition came to be registered on the complaint sent by Smt. Ganga Bai Pardhan, widow of Shri Nathulal Pardhan, Assistant teacher, alleging that the retiral dues of her

27

husband have not been paid in spite of the lapse of period of more than five years after the death of her husband.

2. In the return filed on behalf of the State, the details of the payment made to the widow of Nathulal Pardhan have been spelt out in paragraph 5, which reads as follows :-

"5. It is respectfully submitted that the details of the retiral dues paid to the family of the deceased employee is given hereinbelow :

S.No.	Details of Paid amounts	Date	Paid amount
1.	Exgratia Amount	07-02-2004	25,000/-
2.	Gratuity	30-12-2004	2,15,315/-
3.	Life Survival allowance	09-09-2005	4,08,676/-
4.	G.P.F.	09-09-2005	2,827/-
5.	Life survival difference amount	16-11-2005	1,35,934/-
6.	Arrears amount	06-03-2006	2,32,708/-
7.	Revision pay-scale	10-07-2006	1,68,679/-
8.	Family Benefit Fund	14-12-2006	10,000/-
9.	Relief Pension		1,275/-
	TOTAL -		**12,00,414/-**

(Rupees Twelve lakhs, four thousands and fourteen only)"

3. Paragraph 6 of the return further mentions that now there is no outstanding due.

4. In this view of the matter, we are of the opinion that no further monitoring of the matter in this writ petition, now, is required.

5. The writ petition, thus, stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Chief Justice
Judge

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge